arXiv:math/0601663v1 [math.NT] 27 Jan 2006

HILBERT 90 FOR GALOIS COHOMOLOGY

NICOLE LEMIRE[†], JÁN MINÁČ[‡], ANDREW SCHULTZ, AND JOHN SWALLOW

Abstract. Assuming the Bloch-Kato Conjecture, we determine precise conditions under which Hilbert 90 is valid for Milnor k-theory and Galois cohomology. In particular, Hilbert 90 holds for degree n when the cohomological dimension of the Galois group of the maximal p-extension of F is at most n.

The key to the Bloch-Kato Conjecture is Hilbert 90 for Milnor K-theory for cyclic extensions E/F of degree p. It is desirable to know when Hilbert 90 holds for Galois cohomology $H^n(E,\mathbb{F}_p)$ as well. In this paper we develop precise conditions under which Hilbert 90 holds for Galois cohomology.

Let p be a prime number, E/F a cyclic extension of degree p with group G, and assume that F contains a primitive pth root of unity ξ_p. Let σ be a generator of G. We say that Galois cohomology satisfies Hilbert 90 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if the following sequence is exact:

$$H^n(E,\mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\sigma-1} H^n(E,\mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{cor}_{E/F}} H^n(F,\mathbb{F}_p). \tag{1}$$

We say then that $(h90)_n$ is valid.

In section 1 we formulate our results. We recall some basic results related to the Bloch-Kato Conjecture in section 2, and in sections 3 and 4 we prove our results. In section 5 we compare $(h90)_n$ with vanishing of the first cohomology of $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$-module $H^n(E,\mathbb{F}_p)$. We refer the reader to [Lo] and the references therein for interesting comments on classical Hilbert 90 and its extensions by Speiser and Noether.

Date: January 25, 2006.

[†] Research supported in part by NSERC grant R3276A01.

[‡] Research supported in part by NSERC grant R0370A01, and by a Distinguished Research Professorship at the University of Western Ontario.

1. Conditions for Hilbert 90 for Galois cohomology

Choose $a \in F$ such that $E = F(\sqrt[p]{a})$. To simplify notation we abbreviate $H^n(E, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $H^n(F, \mathbb{F}_p)$ by $H^n(E)$ and $H^n(F)$, respectively, and express cup products $\alpha \cup \beta$ as $\alpha.\beta$.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that* $p = 2$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then* $(h90)_n$ *is valid if and only if*

$$H^n(F) = \operatorname{cor} H^n(E) + (a).H^{n-1}(F).$$

Let $E^\times := E \setminus \{0\}$ and suppose still that $p = 2$. If a is a sum of two squares in F then $a \in N_{E/F}E^\times$, and by the projection formula (see [NSW, Prop. 1.5.3iv]) we obtain $(a).H^{n-1}(F) \subset \operatorname{cor} H^n(E)$. Therefore we have the following corollary. (Observe that a is a sum of two squares in F if and only if $-1 \in N_{E/F}E^\times$.)

Corollary 1.1. *Suppose that* $p = 2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *and* $a \in F^2 + F^2$. *Then* $(h90)_n$ *is valid if and only if* $\operatorname{cor} : H^n(E) \to H^n(F)$ *is surjective.*

Theorem 2. *Suppose that* $p > 2$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then* $(h90)_n$ *is valid if and only if*

$$H^n(F) = \operatorname{cor} H^n(E) + (\xi_p).H^{n-1}(F).$$

Now if $\xi_p \in N_{E/F}E^\times$, then by the projection formula, $(\xi_p).H^{n-1}(F) \subset \operatorname{cor} H^n(E)$. Therefore we have

Corollary 2.1. *Suppose that* $p > 2$ *and* $\xi_p \in N_{E/F}E^\times$. *Then* $(h90)_n$ *is valid if and only if* $\operatorname{cor} : H^n(E) \to H^n(F)$ *is surjective.*

Corollaries 1.1 and 2.1 together imply

Corollary 3. *Suppose that* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\xi_p \in N_{E/F}E^\times$. *Then* $(h90)_n$ *is valid if and only if* $\operatorname{cor} : H^n(E) \to H^n(F)$ *is surjective.*

Now let $F(p)$ be the compositum of all finite Galois p-power extensions of F in a fixed separable closure of F, and consider the cohomological dimension of $G_F(p) := \operatorname{Gal}(F(p)/F)$. If $\operatorname{cd} G_F(p) \leq n$, then by [NSW, Prop. 3.3.8] we have the surjectivity of $\operatorname{cor} : H^n(E) \to H^n(F)$. In fact, since $\xi_p \in F$, the statement $\operatorname{cd} G_F(p) \leq n$ is equivalent to the surjectivity of cor on $H^n(E)$ for all cyclic extensions E of F of degree p [LLMS, Thm. 2]. Moreover, if a primitive p^2th root of unity is in F, then for a suitable choice of the p^2th root of unity $\xi_{p^2} \in F$ we obtain $N_{E/F}(\xi_{p^2}) = \xi_p$ for every cyclic extension E/F of degree p. Hence from Theorems 1 and 2 and Corollaries 1.1 and 2.1 we have

Corollary 4. *Suppose that* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *If* $\operatorname{cd} G_F(p) \leq n$, *then* $(h90)_n$ *is valid for all cyclic extensions* E/F *of degree* p. *Moreover, if* $\xi_{p^2} \in F$ *and if* $(h90)_n$ *is valid for all cyclic extensions* E/F *of degree* p, *then* $\operatorname{cd} G_F(p) \leq n$.

We present two further results: an interpretation of $(h90)_n$ in terms of direct summands of $H^n(E)$ defined over F, and a hereditary property of $(h90)_n$.

Theorem 5. *Suppose that* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then* $(h90)_n$ *is valid if and only if for each pair* $Q \subset H^n(F)$, $P \subset H^n(E)$ *satisfying*

$$H^n(E) = \operatorname{res} Q \oplus P,$$

we have $\operatorname{res} Q = \{0\}$.

Theorem 6. *Suppose that* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then if* $(h90)_n$ *is valid,* $(h90)_m$ *is valid for all* $m \geq n$.

At the end of the paper we compare $(h90)_n$ with $H^1(G, H^n(E)) = \{0\}$.

2. Bloch-Kato and Milnor K-theory

For $i \geq 0$, let K_iF denote the ith Milnor K-group of the field F, with standard generators denoted by $\{f_1\} \cdots \{f_i\}$, $f_1, \ldots, f_i \in F^\times$. (See [Mi] and [FV, Chap. IX].) We use the usual abbreviation k_nF for K_nF/pK_nF. For an extension of fields E/F, we use i_E for the natural inclusions of K-theory and k-theory, and we also use $N_{E/F}$ for both the norm map $K_nE \to K_nF$ and the induced map $k_nE \to k_nF$.

We prove our results first for Milnor k-theory, using Hilbert 90 for Milnor K-theory, and then we use the Bloch-Kato Conjecture to identify k_nF and $H^n(F)$. (See [V1, Lemma 6.11 and §7] and [V2, §6 and Theorem 7.1]. For further expositions of the work of Rost and Voevodsky on Bloch-Kato Conjecture, see [Ro], [MVW], and [Su].)

We say that Milnor k-theory satisfies Hilbert 90 at $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for a cyclic extension E/F of degree p with $\operatorname{Gal}(E/F) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ if the following sequence is exact:

$$k_nE \xrightarrow{\sigma - 1} k_nE \xrightarrow{N_{E/F}} k_nF. \tag{2}$$

We say then that $(h90)_n^M$ is valid. By the Bloch-Kato Conjecture, there exists a G-equivariant isomorphism $k_nE \to H^n(E)$, and therefore $(h90)_n^M$ is equivalent to $(h90)_n$. To determine conditions for (1) it is then sufficient to determine conditions for (2). Since the G-equivariant isomorphism sends products to cup products, conditions for k_nE expressed in terms of products will carry over to the analogous conditions for $H^n(E)$ expressed in terms of cup products.

We use the following two results in Voevodsky's work on the Bloch-Kato Conjecture. Because we apply Voevodsky's results in the case when the base field contains a primitive pth root of unity we give formulations restricted to this case. The first result is Hilbert 90 for Milnor K-theory.

Theorem 7 ([V1, Lemma 6.11 and §7] and [V2, §6 and Thm. 7.1])**.** *Let F be a field containing a primitive pth root of unity and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any cyclic extension E/F of degree p with* $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F) = \langle\sigma\rangle$*, the sequence*

$$K_mE \xrightarrow{\sigma-1} K_mE \xrightarrow{N_{E/F}} K_mF$$

is exact.

As is standard, we then have the so-called "Small Hilbert 90 for k_n":

Corollary 7.1. *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 7. Then the sequence*

$$(\sigma-1)k_mE + i_Ek_mF \longrightarrow k_mE \xrightarrow{N_{E/F}} k_mF$$

is exact. In particular,

$$(h90)_m^M \iff i_Ek_mF \subset (\sigma-1)k_mE.$$

Proof. Since $N_{E/F}(\sigma-1) = 0$ on k_mE and $N_{E/F}$ is multiplication by p on i_Ek_nF, our sequence is a complex.

Now let $\alpha \in K_mE$ and write $\bar{\alpha}$ for the class of α in k_mE. Suppose that $N_{E/F}\bar{\alpha} = 0 \in k_mF$. Then $N_{E/F}\alpha = p\beta$ for some $\beta \in K_mF$. Consider $\alpha' = \alpha - i_E(\beta)$. Then $N_{E/F}\alpha' = 0$ and by Theorem 7 there exists $\gamma \in K_mF$ such that $(\sigma-1)\gamma = \alpha' = \alpha - i_E(\beta)$. Then modulo p we have $(\sigma-1)\bar{\gamma} = \bar{\alpha} - i_E(\bar{\beta})$ and so $\bar{\alpha} = (\sigma-1)\bar{\gamma} + i_E(\bar{\beta})$. □

The following theorem is a strengthening of [V1, Prop. 5.2]. Again $a \in F^\times$ is chosen so that $E = F(\sqrt[p]{a})$.

Theorem 8 ([LMS2, Thm. 6]). *Let F be a field containing a primitive pth root of unity. Then for any cyclic extension E/F of degree p and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence*

$$k_{m-1}E \xrightarrow{N_{E/F}} k_{m-1}F \xrightarrow{\{a\}\cdot -} k_mF \xrightarrow{i_E} k_mE$$

is exact.

3. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

Proof of Theorem 1. By Corollary 7.1, $(h90)^M_n$ is valid if and only if

$$i_Ek_nF \subset (\sigma-1)k_nE = (\sigma+1)k_nE = i_EN_{E/F}k_nE.$$

Hence $(h90)^M_n$ is valid if and only if $k_nF = N_{E/F}k_nE + \ker i_E$. By Theorem 8, $\ker i_E = \{a\}\cdot k_{n-1}F$. Therefore

$$(h90)^M_n \iff k_nF = N_{E/F}k_nE + \{a\}\cdot k_{n-1}F.$$

Using the Bloch-Kato Conjecture, the theorem follows. □

Remark 1. The theorem also follows from Arason's long exact sequence (see [A]). Therefore when $p=2$ we can deduce $(h90)_n$ from basic Galois cohomology, without reference to the Bloch-Kato Conjecture.

Proposition 1. *Let F be a field containing a primitive pth root ξ_p of unity and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any cyclic extension E/F of degree p with* $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F) = \langle\sigma\rangle$,

$$(\sigma-1)k_nE \cap (k_nE)^G = i_E(\{\xi_p\}\cdot k_{n-1}F) + i_EN_{E/F}k_nE.$$

Before proving Proposition 1 we introduce some further notation and establish Lemma 1 below.

For $y \in k_nE \setminus \{0\}$, set the length $l(y)$ of y to be

$$l(y) := \max\{t \in \mathbb{N} : (\sigma-1)^{t-1}y \neq 0\}.$$

Observe that since $1+\sigma+\cdots+\sigma^{p-1} = (\sigma-1)^{p-1}$ in $\mathbb{F}_pG$, $i_EN_{E/F} = (\sigma-1)^{p-1}$ on k_nE and therefore $l(y) \leq p$.

Since $\{\xi_p\}\cdot k_{n-1}F = \{\xi_p^c\}\cdot k_{n-1}F$ for $(p,c)=1$, we assume without loss of generality for the proofs of the proposition and the following lemma that $\sqrt[p]{a}^{\sigma-1} = \xi_p$.

Lemma 1. *Suppose* $y \in k_n E$ *with* $l = l(y) \geq 2$. *Then if* $l \geq 3$,

$$(\sigma - 1)^{l-1}(y) \in i_E N_{E/F} k_n E,$$

and if $l = 2$

$$(\sigma - 1)y \in i_E(\{\xi_p\} \cdot k_{n-1}F + N_{E/F}k_n E).$$

Proof. If $l = p$, then $(\sigma - 1)^{p-1} k_n E = i_E N_{E/F} k_n E$ shows the result in this case. Hence we may assume that $p > 2$.

Suppose $l < p$. Then $y \in \ker(\sigma - 1)^{p-1}$ and so $i_E N_{E/F}(y) = 0$. By Theorem 8, there exists $b \in k_{n-1}F$ such that $N_{E/F} y = \{a\} \cdot b$. By the projection formula [FW, p. 81],

$$N_{E/F}\big(y - \{\sqrt[p]{a}\} \cdot i_E(b)\big) = 0.$$

Then by Corollary 7.1, there exist $\omega \in k_n E$ and $f \in k_n F$ such that

$$y = (\sigma - 1)\omega + \{\sqrt[p]{a}\} \cdot i_E(b) + i_E f$$

and hence

$$(\sigma - 1)^{l-1} y = (\sigma - 1)^l \omega + (\sigma - 1)^{l-2} i_E(\{\xi_p\} \cdot b).$$

If $l(y) \geq 3$ we deduce

$$(\sigma - 1)^{l-1} y = (\sigma - 1)^l \omega$$

where $l(\omega) = l + 1$. Set $y_{l+1} = \omega$ and repeat the argument. We obtain $y_k \in k_n E$ of lengths $l \leq k \leq p$ with

$$(\sigma - 1)^{l-1} y = (\sigma - 1)^{k-1} y_k.$$

Take $\alpha = y_p$ to obtain

$$(\sigma - 1)^{l-1} y \in i_E N_{E/F} k_n E,$$

as required.

If $l(y) = 2$ we have that

$$(\sigma - 1) y = (\sigma - 1)^2 \omega + i_E(\{\xi_p\} \cdot b)$$

for some $\omega \in k_n E$ and some $b \in k_{n-1}F$. We see that $l(\omega) \leq 3$. If $l(\omega) < 3$ then

$$(\sigma - 1) y \in i_E(\{\xi_p\} \cdot k_{n-1}F),$$

while if $l(\omega) = 3$ then by the previous case we see that $(\sigma - 1)^2 \omega \in i_E N_{E/F} k_n E$, so the result holds in either case. □

Proof of Proposition 1. The right-hand side is contained in $i_E k_n F$ and so is fixed by G. Furthermore,

$$i_E N_{E/F} k_n E = (\sigma - 1)^{p-1} k_n E \subset (\sigma - 1) k_n E.$$

Let $f \in k_{n-1} F$. Then because

$$(\sigma - 1)(\{\sqrt[p]{a}\} \cdot i_E(f)) = i_E(\{\xi_p\} \cdot f),$$

we have $i_E(\{\xi_p\} \cdot k_{n-1} F) \subset (\sigma - 1) k_n E$, and so

$$i_E(\{\xi_p\} \cdot k_{n-1} F) + i_E N_{E/F} k_n E \subset (\sigma - 1) k_n E \cap (k_n E)^G.$$

Now let $0 \neq x \in (k_n E)^G \cap (\sigma - 1) k_n E$. Then $x = (\sigma - 1)\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in k_n E$ with $l(\gamma) = 2$. The result follows from Lemma 1. □

Proof of Theorem 2. By Corollary 7.1, $(h90)^M_n$ is valid if and only if $i_E k_n F \subset (\sigma - 1) k_n E$, or, equivalently,

$$(h90)^M_n \iff (\sigma - 1) k_n E \cap i_E k_n F = i_E k_n F.$$

By Proposition 1,

$$(\sigma - 1) k_n E \cap i_E k_n F = i_E(\{\xi_p\} \cdot k_{n-1} F) + i_E N_{E/F} k_n E.$$

Hence $(h90)^M_n$ is valid if and only if

$$i_E k_n F = i_E(\{\xi_p\} \cdot k_{n-1} F + N_{E/F} k_n E).$$

Since $p > 2$, $\{a\} \cdot \{a\} = 0$. Then by Theorem 8, $\ker i_E \subset N_{E/F} k_n E$. Hence

$$(h90)^M_n \iff k_n F = \{\xi_p\} \cdot k_{n-1} F + N_{E/F} k_n E.$$

Using the Bloch-Kato Conjecture, the theorem follows. □

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 5 AND 6

We recall some results on $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$-modules for G a cyclic group of order p. The indecomposable $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$-modules are precisely the cyclic $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$-modules $V_i := \mathbb{F}_p[G]/\langle(\sigma - 1)^i\rangle$ of dimensions $i = 1, \ldots, p$; hence V_i is annihilated by $(\sigma - 1)^i$ but not by $(\sigma - 1)^{i-1}$. The trivial $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$-module $V_1 \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$ is the unique simple $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$-module up to isomorphism. Recall that a semisimple module is any direct sum, possibly infinite, of simple modules. For each i, the fixed submodule V_i^G of V_i is $(\sigma - 1)^{i-1} V$, and each V_i has finite composition length and therefore (see [AF, Lemma 12.8]) its endomorphism ring is local.

Proposition 2. *Let M be an $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$-module. Then $M = S \oplus T$ where S is a maximal semisimple direct summand and $T^G \subset (\sigma - 1)T$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ is an Artinian principal ideal ring, every $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$-module W is a direct sum of cyclic $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$-modules [SV, Thm. 6.7]. By the Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya Theorem (see [AF, Thm. 12.6]), all decompositions of W into direct sums of indecomposable modules are equivalent. Now decompose $M = \oplus M_i$ where for each $i = 1, \dots, p$, M_i is a direct sum of cyclic $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$-modules V_i of dimension i. Set $S = M_1$, $T = M_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_p$. Then S is a semisimple direct summand and T contains no nonzero semisimple direct summand. Hence S is maximal. Since for each $i \geq 2$, T_i is a direct sum of modules V_i satisfying $V_i^G = (\sigma - 1)^{i-1} V_i$, we have that T satisfies $T^G \subset (\sigma - 1)T$. □

Proof of Theorem 5. Assume first that $(h90)_n^M$ is valid, and suppose that $k_nE = i_EQ \oplus P$ for $Q \subset k_nF$, $P \subset k_nE$. Then $N_{E/F} i_E Q = pQ = \{0\}$. By $(h90)_n^M$,

$$i_EQ \subset (\sigma - 1)k_nE = (\sigma - 1)i_EQ \oplus (\sigma - 1)P = (\sigma - 1)P \subset P.$$

Hence $i_EQ \subset i_EQ \cap P = \{0\}$, as desired.

For the other direction, assume that if $k_nE = i_EQ \oplus P$ for $Q \subset k_nF$, $P \subset k_nE$, then $i_EQ = \{0\}$. By Proposition 2 we may write $k_nE = S \oplus T$ where S is a maximal semisimple direct summand and $T^G \subset (\sigma - 1)T$. We claim that $U = i_E k_n F \cap S = \{0\}$, as follows. Because S is semisimple there exists V such that $U \oplus V = S$. Let $Q \subset k_nF$ be the inverse image of U under i_E. Then $k_nE = i_EQ \oplus (V \oplus T)$ so that $U = \{0\}$, as desired.

Now let $f \in k_nF$ be arbitrary, and write $x := i_E f = s + t$ along $S \oplus T$. Since $(\sigma - 1)x = 0$, we see that $(\sigma - 1)t = 0$ and $t \in T^G \subset (\sigma - 1)T$. By Proposition 1, $t \in i_E k_n F$ and therefore $s = x - t \in i_E k_n F$. But since $U = \{0\}$, $s = 0$. Hence $i_E k_n F \subset (\sigma - 1)k_nE$. By Corollary 7.1, we deduce that $(h90)_n^M$ is valid.

Using the Bloch-Kato Conjecture, the theorem follows. □

Remark 2. The same argument as in the first paragraph of the proof shows directly that if $(h90)_n$ is valid and $H^n(E) = \operatorname{res} Q \oplus P$ for $Q \subset H^n(F)$ and $P \subset H^n(E)$, then $\operatorname{res} Q = \{0\}$.

Proof of Theorem 6. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume first that $p > 2$. We prove the result by induction on m. The base case $m = n$ is given. Assume then that $(h90)_m^M$ holds. By Theorem 2,

$$k_mF = N_{E/F} k_m E + \{\xi_p\} \cdot k_{m-1} F.$$

Then each element in $k_{m+1}F$ is a sum of the form $\{f\} \cdot r + \{g\} \cdot \{\xi_p\} \cdot s$ where $f, g \in F^\times$, $r \in N_{E/F}k_mE$, and $s \in k_{m-1}F$.

By the projection formula (see [FV, Thm. 3.8]), $\{f\} \cdot r \in N_{E/F}k_{m+1}E$. Moreover, $\{g\} \cdot \{\xi_p\} \cdot s = \{\xi_p\} \cdot \{g^{-1}\} \cdot s \in \{\xi_p\} \cdot k_mF$. Therefore

$$k_{m+1}F = N_{E/F}k_{m+1}E + \{\xi_p\} \cdot k_mF.$$

By the proof of Theorem 2, we have $(h90)^M_{m+1}$. Using the Bloch-Kato Conjecture, the theorem for $p > 2$ follows.

The case $p = 2$ follows by replacing ξ_p with a and Theorem 2 with Theorem 1 in the argument above. □

5. Hilbert versus Noether and Speiser

It is interesting to compare $(h90)_n$ with the condition $H^1(G, H^n(E)) = \{0\}$. Write $\operatorname{ann}_n(a)$ for the annihilator of the cup-product with (a) in $H^n(F)$.

Theorem 9. *Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$H^1(G, H^n(E)) = \{0\} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad (h90)_n.$$

If $p > 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following are equivalent:

1. $H^1(G, H^n(E)) = \{0\}$
2. $H^n(E)$ *is a free* $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$*-module*
3. $\operatorname{cor} : H^{n-1}(E) \to H^{n-1}(F)$ *is surjective.*

If $p = 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following are equivalent:

1. $H^1(G, H^n(E)) = \{0\}$
2. $H^n(E)$ *is a free* $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$*-module*
3. $H^n(E) = \operatorname{ann}_n(a) \oplus (a).H^{n-1}(F)$.

Proof. If $H^1(G, H^n(E)) = \{0\}$ then $(\sigma - 1)H^n(E) = \ker N$, where the endomorphism $N : H^n(E) \to H^n(E)$ is defined by $N = \operatorname{res}\operatorname{cor}$. Since $\ker N \supseteq \ker \operatorname{cor}$, we obtain $(h90)_n$.

The equivalences (1)⇔(2) follow from [L, §III.1, Prop. 1.4]. The equivalences (2)⇔(3) follow from [LMS, Thm. 1]. □

6. Acknowledgements

Andrew Schultz would like to thank Ravi Vakil for his encouragement and direction in this and all other projects. John Swallow would like to thank Université Bordeaux I for its hospitality during 2005–2006.

References

[A] J. Kr. Arason. Cohomologische invarianten quadratischer Formen. *J. Algebra* **36** (1975), 448–491.

[AF] F. Anderson and K. Fuller. *Rings and categories of modules.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics 13. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1973.

[FV] I. Fesenko and S. Vostokov. *Local fields and their extensions*, 2nd ed. Translations of Mathematical Monographs 121. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2002.

[FW] E. M. Friedlander and C. W. Weibel. An overview of algebraic K-theory. *Algebraic K-theory and its applications (Trieste, 1997)*, edited by H. Bass, A. O. Kuku, and C. Pedrini, 1–119. River Edge, NJ: World Sci. Publishing, 1999.

[L] S. Lang. *Topics in cohomology of groups.* Lecture Notes in Math., 1625. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1996.

[LLMS] J. Labute, N. Lemire, J. Mináč, and J. Swallow. Cohomological dimension and Schreier's formula in Galois cohomology. *Can. Math. Bull.*, to appear.

[LMS] N. Lemire, J. Mináč, and J. Swallow. When is Galois cohomology free or trivial? *New York J. of Math.* **11** (2005), 291–302.

[LMS2] N. Lemire, J. Mináč, and J. Swallow. Galois module structure of Galois cohomology and partial Euler-Poincaré characteristics. arXiv:Math.NT/0409484 v3 (2006).

[Lo] F. Lorenz. Ein Scholion zum Satz 90 von Hilbert. *Anh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **68** (1998), 347–362.

[MVW] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, and Ch. Weibel. Lecture notes on motivic cohomology. math.rutgers.edu/˜weibel/MVWnotes/xprova.pdf (2005).

[Mi] J. Milnor. Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms. *Invent. Math.* **9** (1970), 318–344.

[NSW] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, and K. Wingberg. *Cohomology of number fields.* Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2000.

[Ro] M. Rost. *Chain lemma for symbols.* www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/˜rost/chain-lemma.html.

[SV] D. Sharpe and P. Vámos. *Injective modules.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 62. London: Cambridge University Press, 1972.

[Su] A. Suslin. *Norm varieties.* Notes by Seva Joukhovitski. K-theory preprint archive, no. 742 (2005). www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0742/.

[V1] V. Voevodsky. Motivic cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}/2$-coefficients. *Publ. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 98 (2003), 59–104.

[V2] V. Voevodsky. On motivic cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}/l$-coefficients. K-theory preprint archive 639. www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0639/ (2003).

Department of Mathematics, Middlesex College, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario N6A 5B7 CANADA

E-mail address: `nlemire@uwo.ca`

E-mail address: `minac@uwo.ca`

Department of Mathematics, Building 380, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305-2125 USA

E-mail address: `aschultz@stanford.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Davidson College, Box 7046, Davidson, North Carolina 28035-7046 USA

E-mail address: `joswallow@davidson.edu`